IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR

TUESDAY, THE 21ST AUGUST 2007 / 30TH SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 18172 of 2007(L)

PETITIONER:

---

1. E.D.FRANCIS, S/O DEVASSY, AGED 72,
   ELAVATHINKAL, PERUVAYAL P.O.,
   PERUVAYAL AMSOM, KOZHIKODE.

2. T.M.GANESHAN, S/O KANDANKUTTY,
   AGED 38, BHUVANESHWARI HOTEL, PALAYM,
   CALICUT.

3. PAVOOR BALAKRISHNAN,
   S/O GOPALAN, AGED 67,
   PUTHIYARA P.O., KOZHIKODE.

4. A.VASU, S/O.APPU, AGED 77,
   ANINOOR, KUTHIRAVATTOM P.O.,
   NELLIKODE VILLAGE.

BY ADV. SRI.C.P.MOHAMMED NIAS

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY BOARD,
   REPRESENTED BY ITS CHAIRMAN, VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN,
   THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

2. THE DEPUTY CHIEF ENGINEER, KSEB,
   ELECTRICAL CIRCLE, VYDHYUTHI BHAVAN,
   GANDHI ROAD, KOZHIKODE-11.

3. THE KERALA STATE ELECTRICITY
   REGULATORY COMMISSION, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY ADV. SRI.P.P.THAJUDEEN, SC, K.S.E.B

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 21/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, J.

WP(C) No. 18172 of 2007

Dated, this the 21st day of August, 2007

J U D G M E N T

Petitioners are challenging notice demanding additional cash security as provided under Sec. 47 (2) & (3) of the Electricity Act 2003. Similar provision on the Conditions of Supply is upheld by this Court vide judgment in **Asokan Vs. KSEB** reported in 2000 (1) KLT 432. In the circumstances, there is no scope for interference with the impugned orders, more so when the demand is consistent with the statutory provision and based on actual consumption.

This writ petition is, therefore, dismissed.

(C.N.RAMACHANDRAN NAIR, JUDGE)

jg